UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/751,301	01/02/2004	David M. Giorgi	00970.0011-US-U1	8801	
44163 CVD % ASSO	7590 08/15/2007 CLATES D.A	EXAMINER			
CYR & ASSOCIATES, P.A. PONDVIEW PLAZA			VAN ROY, TOD THOMAS		
5850 OPUS PA MINNETONK	ARKWAY SUITE 114 (A. MN 55343	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	11, 1111 000 10		2828		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

L	
$\mathbf{r}$	_

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
GIORGI ET AL.		
Art Unit		
2828		
	GIORGI ET AL.  Art Unit	

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	WINN	Art Unit	
	Tod T. Van Roy		2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) a otice of Appeal (wi ce with 37 CFR 1.	n amendment, aff ith appeal fee) in ( 114. The reply m	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or ( ater than SIX MON	<ol> <li>the date set forth</li> <li>ΓHS from the mailin</li> </ol>	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		BOX (U) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the cor shortened statutory r than three months	responding amount period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowing)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> </ol>	nsideration and/o bw); tter form for appe	or search (see NO	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding nu	ımber of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submit	ted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejec	ctions under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	•	
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place	e the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Par	oer No(s).	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
,			MINSUNOTHA	RVER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the "operably charged" limitations and the newly limited coupling path of claim 13 would require further search and consideration. The Examiner acknowledges the Applicant's suggested interview request, and refers the Applicant to the previously indicated allowable subject matter noted in the past office actions. If the Applicant wishes to further discuss any matters relating to overcoming prior art the Examiner encourages the Applicant to call and arrange for a telephone interview (571-272-8447).